

AFRICAN GOVERNANCE AND DEVELOPMENT
INSTITUTE

A G D I Working Paper

WP/12/006

**Are Proposed African Monetary Unions Optimal Currency Areas? Real,
Monetary and Fiscal Policy Convergence Analysis**

Simplice A. Asongu

African Governance and Development Institute,
P.O. Box 18 SOA/ 1365 Yaoundé, Cameroon.
E-mail: asongusimplice@yahoo.com

AGDI Working Paper

Research Department

Are Proposed African Monetary Unions Optimal Currency Areas? Real, Monetary and Fiscal Policy Convergence Analysis

Simplice A. Asongu¹

September 2012

Abstract

Purpose – A spectre is hunting embryonic African monetary zones: the EMU crisis. This paper assesses real, monetary and fiscal policy convergence within the proposed WAM and EAM zones. The introduction of common currencies in West and East Africa is facing stiff challenges in the timing of monetary convergence, the imperative of central bankers to apply common modeling and forecasting methods of monetary policy transmission, as well as the requirements of common structural and institutional characteristics among candidate states.

Design/methodology/approach – In the analysis: monetary policy targets inflation and financial dynamics of depth, efficiency, activity and size; real sector policy targets economic performance in terms of GDP growth at macro and micro levels; while, fiscal policy targets debt-to-GDP and deficit-to-GDP ratios. A dynamic panel GMM estimation with data from different non-overlapping intervals is employed. The implied rate of convergence and the time required to achieve full (100%) convergence are then computed from the estimations.

Findings – Findings suggest overwhelming lack of convergence: (1) initial conditions for financial development are different across countries; (2) fundamental characteristics as common monetary policy initiatives and IMF backed financial reform programs are implemented differently across countries; (3) there is remarkable evidence of cross-country variations in structural characteristics of macroeconomic performance; (4) institutional cross-country differences could also be responsible for the deficiency in convergence within the potential monetary zones; (5) absence of fiscal policy convergence and no potential for eliminating idiosyncratic fiscal shocks due to business cycle incoherence.

Practical implications – As a policy implication, heterogeneous structural and institutional characteristics across countries are giving rise to different levels and patterns of financial intermediary development. Thus, member states should work towards harmonizing cross-country differences in structural and institutional characteristics that hamper the effectiveness of convergence in monetary, real and fiscal policies. This could be done by stringently monitoring the implementation of existing common initiatives and/or the adoption of new reforms programs.

Originality/value – It is one of the few attempts to investigate the issue of convergence within the proposed WAM and EAM unions.

JEL Classification: F15; F36; F42; O55; P52

Keywords: Currency Area; Convergence; Policy Coordination; Africa

¹ Simplicie A. Asongu is Lead economist in the Research Department of the AGDI (asongus@afridev.org).

1. Introduction

With much attention currently being focused on convergence criteria and preparedness of the aspiring member states of embryonic African Monetary zones, candidate countries of the West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ) have twice postponed the take-off for the single currency. Central bank experts in the upcoming East African Monetary Zone (EAMZ) fear that, plans for a common currency in 2012 maybe too ambitious as central banks in the five countries are given little time to prepare for the monetary union. These could be the result of the European Monetary Union (EMU) crisis that has sent a strong signal to other common currency regions on the goals of real, monetary and fiscal policy convergence. A paramount lesson of the EMU crisis is that serious disequilibria results from regional arrangements not designed to be robust to a variety of shocks (Willet, 2010; Willett & Srisorn, 2011). In designing the EMU, institutions' almost exclusive concern was placed on mitigating crises caused by financial sectors. The official stance of the German government today appears to remain that, failure of these safeguards is the predominant cause of the crisis. A position which can be reasonably argued for Greece, although its loss of competitiveness has also been a major factor.

Borrowing from AON (2012), today some experts assert that knowledge to implement reforms needed for a single currency region is lacking in several central banks of the EAMZ. In a recent meeting, central bankers agreed on the need for capacity-building in economic forecasting and modeling among staff to get them ready for the upcoming monetary union. A report evaluating the capacities of each of the central banks found there were great needs for training programs, especially in key modeling and forecasting methods as participants noted great gaps in their usages. In an opening statement, Claver Gatete, Deputy Governor of the Rwanda National Bank made it clear that, the importance of economic modeling and forecasting could not be underestimated². In order to ensure timely and effective implementation of the road map to a

²He stressed these were key instruments in monetary policy formulation. It is also a way of providing the central banks with clear and efficient ways of assessing trends and developments in domestic and external economies. "It

single currency in the WAMZ, the Convergence Council has urged members states to pursue appropriate monetary and fiscal policies, as well as rigorous implementation of the structural and institutional policies under the ECOWAS Multilateral Surveillance Mechanism, in order to achieve convergence and a durable monetary union on a sustainable basis. Three issues result from these stylized facts: (1) the need for monetary and fiscal policy convergence; (2) the imperative of central bankers to understand modeling and forecasting methods in monetary policy transmission and; (3) the requirement of common structural and institutional characteristics among candidate members.

In this paper, we examine the three issues by assessing real, monetary and fiscal policy convergence within the WAM and EAM zones. This work contributes to the current debate on single currency unions by analyzing elements of macroeconomic, monetary and fiscal policies. These include: financial intermediary dynamics (of depth, efficiency, activity and size), inflation, economic performance, external debt and budget deficit/surplus. In so doing, it provides an in-depth picture of the state of convergence, the speed of convergence and, the time required to achieved full convergence in real, monetary and fiscal policies. The rest of the paper is structured in the following manner. Section 2 examines existing literature. Data and methodology are respectively presented and discussed in Section 3. Empirical analysis, discussion and policy implications are covered in Section 4. We conclude with Section 5.

2. Existing literature

2.1 Motivations for convergence in the run-up to a monetary zone

Putting aside the premise of the EMU crisis highlighted above, the absence of studies that focus on financial convergence in the African continent constitute a concrete motivation for this paper. Though a number of studies have focused on financial market convergence worldwide,

is useful in monitoring where the economy stands and how to make short and long-term projections in order to determine what monetary policy to follow. There is a need for a central bank to have a clear understanding of its monetary transmission mechanisms” Gatete (AON, 2012).

the emphasis has often been on developed and the emerging economies of Latin America and Asia. Borrowing from Alagidede (2008), such neglect is not unexpected as African financial markets are viewed as too risky and little developed in operating and institutional environmental settings. Political strife and economic instability have plagued most African countries and continue to pose a significant threat to foreign investments and private capital flows (Kenyan post election crises in 2007/2008, Zimbabwe's economic downturn, Nigeria's marred transition in 2008, the unending Egyptian revolution and currently Nigeria's fuel subsidy related social unrest and threat of Islamic fundamentalism). With very few exceptions (South Africa for example), African countries are yet to rise as emerging economic powers. This might partly elucidate the relative lack of academic research on financial convergence in the continent. However, in recent years Africa has witnessed significant economic and financial developments; which have led to growing initiatives on common currency unions.

Single currency unions are expected to provide gains in growth by favoring a breeding atmosphere for competition and efficiency in the banking sector. These gains result from price reductions in financial services which provide direct gains to customers and indirect benefits through reduction of lending rates that favor investment (Weill, 2009). Financial convergence is crucial in assessing the outcome of deregulation policies aimed at ameliorating the performance and efficiency of the financial intermediary sector (Casu & Girardone, 2010). Financial theory considers integrated markets to be relatively more efficient than divergent ones. Thus, an integrated financial intermediary market within a monetary zone improves cross-border flow of funds, stimulates trading volume which in-turn improves liquidity. Integrated banking markets provide investors with the opportunity of efficiently allocating capital to economic operators (Chen et al., 2002). The ensuing effect is lower cost of capital for firms and lower transaction cost for investors (Kim et al., 2005). Therefore, when banks are integrated within a single currency area, there are positive rewards to financial stability as it diminishes the probability of

asymmetric shocks (Umutlu et al., 2010). Financial stability in-turn could attenuate the risk of cross-border financial contagion (Beine et al., 2010) and augment the capacity of economies to absorb shocks (Yu et al., 2010).

The need for convergence in the banking sector within the WAM and EAM zones draws on the tenets of arbitrage and hypothesis proffered by the portfolio theory. Dynamics of financial intermediation converge to mirror the level of arbitrage activity. When they converge, it implies a common momentum such as arbitrage activity is bringing the markets together. This will reduce the potential for making above normal profits through international diversification (Von Furstenberg & Jeon, 1989). In the same spirit, if deterrents or potential barriers generating country risks and exchange rate premiums are absent, the consequence is similar yields for financial assets of similar risk and liquidity regardless of locality and nationality (Von Furstenberg & Jeon, 1989). Hence, the motivations for convergence in banking markets has premises on the literature of financial intermediary sector interdependence and portfolio diversification (Grubel, 1968; Levy & Sarnat, 1970). A great chunk of these papers have considered short-term links of stock markets and found the presence of short-term co-movements. This approach has been extended to long-run financial market co-movements (Bessler & Yang, 2003).

Theoretical literature on fiscal convergence is scanty (Kocenda et al., 2008). Based on a simple theoretical framework where agents agree on the long-term goals, Onorante (2004) shows that fiscal constraints lead to implicit coordination characterized by lower deficits, controlled inflation and lower interest rates. The model provides an argument for benefits of fiscal convergence for acceding countries and stability in the existing EMU. In this regard, existing literature on fiscal policy coordination in the EU countries provides support to theoretical benefits of fiscal policy coordination (Faini, 2006; Afonso & Rault, 2007). There is also ample evidence that fiscal convergence is systematically associated with enhanced business cycles

synchronization, eliminating idiosyncratic fiscal shocks along with evidence that reduced primary fiscal deficits (or higher surpluses) ameliorate coherence of business cycles across countries (Artis et al., 2008). The argument is that, the increased business cycle coherence along with fiscal convergence make countries within the region better candidates for a currency union (Darvas et al., 2005).

In light of the above points, the financial system exerts a significant influence on modern economic literature debates (Scholtens & Naaborg, 2005). On a first note, the monetary policy transmission mechanism's effectiveness is contingent on the financial system (Bondt, 2000). Secondly, it is believed to affect channels through which finance is tied to economic growth (Allen & Gale, 2000). Therefore, the financial system interacts with the economy by producing information ex-ante about possible investments, monitoring of investments, allocation of capital, facilitation of trade, diversification and management of risks, mobilization and pooling of savings, as well as easing of goods and services (Levine, 2004).

The above paragraph is important to the context of this paper because, it points to how and why assessing dynamics within homogenous financial and economic systems could elucidate the three issues resulting from stylized facts we have highlighted above. Plainly put, investigating convergence in financial intermediary dynamics of depth, efficiency, activity and size within a financial system on the one hand, and calculating their corresponding speeds of convergence and time required for full (100%) convergence on the other hand, address quite a number important policy issues in the present debate over timing and monetary convergence within upcoming African single currency zones. To complement monetary policies, this paper also examines convergence in real and fiscal policies. Real policy convergence is examined in terms of macroeconomic performance (GDP growth) and per capita economic prosperity, while fiscal policy convergence is investigated in terms of debt-to-GDP and deficit-to-GDP ratios.

2.2 The WAM and EAM zones

Literature pertaining to the WAM and EAM zones could be classified into two main strands. While the first is dedicated to the latter region, the second is focused on the former.

To the best of our knowledge only two empirical papers have been dedicated to the embryonic EAMZ. Mkenda (2001) employ a Generalized Purchasing Power Parity (GPPP) model to analyze the suitability of the EAC (East African Community) as a single currency union. The results which indicate that the real exchange rates between the EAC countries are cointegrated during the period 1980-98, suggest that the EAMZ is an optimal currency area. However as pointed-out by Buigut & Valev (2005), the limitation of this approach is that movements in macroeconomic variables reflect the combined effects of shocks and responses (Angeloni & Dedola, 1999). Hence, this methodology does not distinguish errors from responses. Buigut & Valev (2005) empirically assess the suitability of the East African countries for a regional monetary union by testing for symmetry of the underlying structural shocks. Results suggest that supply and demand shocks are generally asymmetric, which does not support the forming of a single currency union. Buigut & Valev (2005) further stress that the speed and magnitude of adjustment to shocks is similar across the countries. Implying, more integration of the economies could lead to conducive conditions for a monetary union.

Some studies have also focused on analyzing the feasibility of forming a monetary union in the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS). In this second strand, Celasun & Justiniano (2005) have used a dynamic factor analysis to examine the synchronization of output fluctuations among member countries. Their results indicate that, small countries within ECOWAS experience relatively more synchronized output variations. Hence, they suggest that monetary unification among subsets of countries is preferable over wider monetary integration. Debrun et al. (2005) investigate the potential for monetary integration in the ECOWAS using a model of monetary and fiscal policy interactions. Their findings suggest that the proposed

monetary union is desirable for most non-West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU) countries, but not for the existing WAEMU member states. Tsangarides & Qureshi (2008) in applying hard and soft clustering algorithms to a set of variables suggested by the convergence criteria and the theory of optimal currency areas, examine the suitability of countries in the West African region to form the proposed monetary union (WAMZ). Findings reveal considerable dissimilarities in the economic characteristics of member countries. Much recently, Alagidede et al. (2011) have examined the inflation dynamics and common trends in the real domestic product in candidate countries of the embryonic WAMZ. Using fractional integration and cointegration methods, they establish significant heterogeneity among the countries.

With much attention currently being focused on convergence criteria and preparedness of the aspiring member states, this paper is inspired by the premise of the EMU crisis. Thus, we assess if candidate countries of potential African monetary zones have similar fundamental and structural characteristics for real, monetary and fiscal policy convergence.

3. Data and Methodology

3.1 Data

We examine a sample of 4 West and 5 East African countries with data from African Development Indicators (ADI) and, the Financial Development and Structure Database (FDSD) of the World Bank. While openness (trade), inflation, external debts, budget deficits/surplus, public investment, GDP growth and GDP per capita growth indicators are obtained from the former source, financial intermediary dynamics are gathered from the latter. Owing to constraints in data availability, our dataset spans from 1981 to 2009. Details on countries, summary statistics, correlation analysis and variable definitions are provided in Appendix 4, Appendix 1, Appendix 2 and Appendix 3 respectively. The choice of variables is based on three facts. (1) Real sector policies are designed to achieve macroeconomic performance through

growth in GDP at national and per capita income levels. (2) Monetary policies are designed to keep inflation in check and improve financial intermediary dynamics of depth (money supply and liquid liabilities), efficiency (at banking and financial system levels), activity (from banking and financial system perspectives) and size. (3) Fiscal policy coordination is designed to keep debt-to-GDP and deficit-to-GDP ratios in check. For organizational reasons, selected variables are classified into the following strands.

3.1.1 Financial variables

a) Financial depth

Consistent with recent finance literature (Asongu, 2011abcd) and the FDSD, we measure financial depth both from overall-economic and financial system perspectives with indicators of broad money supply ($M2/GDP$) and financial system deposits ($Fdgdg$) respectively. Whereas, the former denotes the monetary base plus demand, saving and time deposits, the latter represents liquid liabilities. The basis for distinguishing these aspects of financial depth is the fact that, we are dealing exclusively with developing countries, in which a significant chunk of the monetary base does not transit through the banking sector (Asongu, 2011e). Both measures are in GDP ratios (see Appendix 3) and can robustly cross-check one another as either account for over 98% of variability in the other (see Appendix 2).

b) Financial efficiency

In the context of this paper, financial intermediation efficiency neither refers to the production efficiency of decision making units, nor to the profitability-oriented concept in the banking industry. What we aim to elicit is the ability of banks to effectively meet their fundamental role of transforming mobilized deposits into credit for economic operators. Thus, we employ proxies for banking-system-efficiency and financial-system-efficiency (respectively ‘bank credit on bank deposits: $Bcbd$ ’ and ‘financial system credit on financial system deposits:

Fcfd'). Like with financial depth, these 2 intermediation efficiency proxies can cross-check each other as either reflect more than 92% of variability in the other (see Appendix 2).

c) Financial size

In accordance with the FDSD, we measure financial intermediary size as the ratio of “deposit bank assets” to “total assets” (deposit bank assets on central bank assets plus deposit bank assets: *Dbacba*).

d) Financial activity

The concept of financial intermediary activity highlighted here is the ability of banks to grant credit to economic operators. In order to ensure robustness, we proxy for both banking intermediary activity and financial intermediary activity with “private domestic credit by deposit banks: *Pcrb*” and “private credit by domestic banks and other financial institutions: *Pcrbof*” respectively. The latter measure cross-checks the former as it represents more than 95% of variability in the former (see Appendix 2).

3.1.2 Other variables

Borrowing from the convergence literature, we also measure the outcome of monetary policy with inflation (Bruno et al., 2011). Macro economic performance is accounted for at macro and micro levels with GDP and GDP per capita growth rates respectively. We assess fiscal convergence with debt-to-GDP and deficit-to-GDP ratios; consistent with Kocenda et al. (2008, 5). In accordance with past studies, we control for openness and public investment in the regressions (Pritchett, 1997; Bruno et al., 2011; Asongu, 2012).

In the literature on per capita income convergence (and the root of the convergence theory), an important clue is that per capita incomes of countries identical in structural characteristics such as preferences in technologies and government policies have the tendency to converge to one another if the countries share similar fundamental characteristics (Prichett,

1997). In the current paper, these fundamental conditions are monetary, fiscal and real sector policies. Hence, we proxy for preferences in technology and government policy with openness (trade) and public investment respectively.

3.2 Methodology

As underlined by Fung (2009; 3), the two equations below are the standard approaches in the literature for investigating conditional convergence if $W_{i,t}$ is taken as strictly exogenous.

$$\ln(Y_{i,t}) - \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau}) = \beta \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau}) + \delta W_{i,t-\tau} + \eta_i + \xi_t + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

$$\ln(Y_{i,t}) = \sigma \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau}) + \delta W_{i,t-\tau} + \eta_i + \xi_t + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (2)$$

Where $\sigma = 1 + \beta$, $Y_{i,t}$ is the proxy for per capita financial or real sector growth in country i at period t . $W_{i,t}$ is a vector of macroeconomic determinants (openness and public investment) of per capita finance (or real sector per capita), η_i is a country-specific effect, ξ_t is a time-specific constant and $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is an error term. According to the exogenous growth model, a statistically significant negative coefficient on β in Eq. (1) means that countries relatively close to their steady state of per capita growth will experience a slowdown in growth of the per capita banking (real sector) development, known as conditional convergence (Narayan et al., 2011; 2). Concurring with Fung (2009; 3), if $0 < |\sigma| < 1$ in Eq. (2), then $Y_{i,t}$ is dynamically stable around the path with a trend growth rate the same as that of W_t , and with a height relative to the level of W_t . The variables contained in $W_{i,t-\tau}$ and the individual effect η_i are indicators of the long-term level the market (real sector) is converging to. Thus, the country-specific effect η_i highlights the existence of other determinants of a country's steady state not captured by $W_{i,t-\tau}$.

Conditions for convergence elucidated above are conditional on the strict exogeneity of $W_{i,t}$. Unfortunately, this is not practical in the real world. Whereas, openness and public

investment (components of $W_{i,t}$) influence per capita financial (real sector) development, the reverse effect cannot be ruled-out. Thus, we are confronted with an issue of endogeneity in which openness (trade) and public investment are correlated with the error term ($\varepsilon_{i,t}$). More so, country and time specific effects could be correlated with other variables in the model, which is often the case when lagged dependent variables are part of the equations. A means of dealing with the problem of the correlation between the individual specific-effect and the lagged endogenous variables involves getting rid of the individual effect by first differencing. Therefore, Eq. (2) becomes:

$$\ln(Y_{i,t}) - \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau}) = \sigma \ln(Y_{i,t-\tau} - Y_{i,t-2\tau}) + \delta(W_{i,t-\tau} - W_{i,t-2\tau}) + (\varepsilon_{i,t} - \varepsilon_{i,t-\tau}) \quad (3)$$

Even with this individual fixed effect eliminated, modeling by Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) is still biased because there remains a correlation between the lagged endogenous independent variable and the disturbance term. Arellano & Bond (1991) have suggested an application of the Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) that exploits all the orthogonality conditions between the endogenous retarded variables and the error term. This GMM approach has been extensively applied in the convergence literature (Narayan et al., 2011; Asongu, 2012). While Narayan et al. (2011) use Eq. (1) without fixed effects, this paper employs Eq. (3) instead; in accordance with Fung (2009) and Asongu (2012). In specifying the model, we prefer the *two-step* GMM instead of the *one-step* because, it corrects the residuals for heteroscedasticity. In the *one-step*, the residuals are considered to be homoscedastic. The assumption of no auto-correlation in residuals is crucial as past lagged variables are to be used as instruments for the endogenous variables. Also, the estimation is based on the assumption that the retarded values of the outcome variable and other explaining variables are valid instruments in the regression. Assuming the error terms of the level equation are not auto-correlated, the first-order auto-correlation of the differenced residuals should be significant while, their second-

order auto-correlation should not be. The validity of the instruments is assessed by the Sargan over-identifying restrictions (OIR) test.

As emphasized by Islam (1995; 14), annual time spans are too short to be appropriate for studying convergence, as short-term disturbances may loom substantially in such brief time spans. Therefore, owing to our data span of 28 years, we borrow from Narayan et al. (2011) in using a 2 year non-overlapping interval such that, we have fourteen time intervals: 1980-1981; 1982-1983 and so on. By implication, the autoregressive order τ is set to 2. For robustness purposes, we also set τ to 3 and then to 4 in order to check the consistency of results.

We also assess the implied rate of convergence by computing $\sigma/2$ which by virtue of Eqs. (1) and (2) is the equivalent of the Narayan et al. (2011) computation with $(1+\beta)/2$. Hence, the the estimated coefficient of the lagged differenced endogenous variable is divided by 2 because we have used a two year non overlapping span to absorb short-term disturbances. When the absolute value of the estimated autoregressive coefficient is greater than zero but less than one ($0 < |\sigma| < 1$), we confirm the alternative hypothesis of convergence. A detailed interpretation suggests, past differences have less proportionate impact on future differences, denoting the variation on the left-hand side of Eq. (3) is diminishing overtime as the country is converging to a stable state.

4. Empirical analysis

This section investigates three main issues: (1) assessment of convergence; (2) determination of the speed of convergence and; (3) computation of the time needed for full (100%) convergence. Table 1 presents a summary of overall findings and addresses the first two concerns while, Table 2 and Table 3 respectively report results for unconditional and conditional convergence.

In assessing unconditional (absolute) convergence, only the lagged difference of the endogenous variable is used as exogenous variable while conditional convergence is in respect

of Eqs. (2) and (3) in the presence of control variables. It follows that, unconditional convergence is estimated without $W_{i,t}$: vector of determinants (openness and public investment) of per capita finance (or real sector per capita). In order to assess the validity of the model and correspondingly the convergence hypothesis, we perform two tests; namely the Sargan test which examines the over-identification of restrictions, and the Arellano and Bond test for autocorrelation which assesses the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation. The Sargan OIR test investigates if the instruments are uncorrelated with the error term in the estimated equation. Its null hypothesis is the stance that, the instruments collectively are strictly exogenous (do not suffer from endogeneity), which is needed for the validity of the GMM estimates. We also report the Wald statistics for the collective significance of estimated coefficients.

The Sargan, autocorrelation and Wald tests statistics with corresponding p-values are reported in the tables. The Sargan test statistics often appear with a p-value greater than 0.10; hence, its null hypothesis is not rejected for the majority of models. Only results for the AR(2) test are reported because, as opposed to the AR(1), it detects autocorrelation in levels. For all estimated models, we are unable to reject the AR(2) null hypothesis of no autocorrelation. Hence, there is robust evidence that all the models are free from autocorrelation.

4.1 Summary of results

In Table 1, we provide a synthesis of results. This summary is based on details reported in Tables 2-3. We report the states of convergence and corresponding speeds of convergence, notably: AC (Absolute Convergence), CC (Conditional Convergence), SAC (Speed of Absolute Convergence) and SCC (Speed of Conditional Convergence). We notice that for the two panels, with regard to real, monetary and fiscal policy dynamics, only banking system efficiency within the EAMZ reflects AC.

Table 1: Summary of results on convergence

| Financial Depth | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|------------------------------------|----|-----|
| | | Money Supply | | | | Liquid Liabilities | | |
| | | AC | CC | SAC | | AC | CC | SAC |
| WAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| EAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| Financial Efficiency | | | | | | | | |
| | | Banking System Efficiency | | | | Financial System Efficiency | | |
| | | AC | CC | SAC | | AC | CC | SAC |
| WAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| EAMZ | Yes(1%) | No | 28.90% | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| Financial Activity | | | | | | | | |
| | | Banking System Activity | | | | Financial System Activity | | |
| | | AC | CC | SAC | | AC | CC | SAC |
| WAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| EAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| Financial Size and Inflation | | | | | | | | |
| | | Financial Size | | | | Inflation (CPI) | | |
| | | AC | CC | SAC | | AC | CC | SAC |
| WAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| EAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| Economic Performance (GDP Growth) | | | | | | | | |
| | | GDP Growth | | | | GDP per capita Growth | | |
| | | AC | CC | SAC | | AC | CC | SAC |
| WAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| EAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| Fiscal Policy Convergence | | | | | | | | |
| | | External Debt | | | | Budget Deficit/Surplus | | |
| | | AC | CC | SAC | | AC | CC | SAC |
| WAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |
| EAMZ | No | No | No | --- | --- | No | No | --- |

AC: Absolute Convergence. CC: Conditional Convergence. SAC: Speed of Absolute Convergence. SCC: Speed of Conditional Convergence. WAMZ: West African Monetary Zone. EAMZ: East African Monetary Zone. CPI: Consumer Price Index.

4.2 Results of absolute convergence

Table 2 below reports results of AC regressions. On a first note, all estimated models are autocorrelation-free and have valid instruments, since the null hypotheses of the AR(2) and Sargan-OIR tests are not rejected. Where the lagged endogenous estimated coefficient is significant, the corresponding Wald statistics is also significant. This was not unexpected since only one endogenous variable is employed in the absolute convergence regressions: implying by definition the statistics pertaining to the estimated coefficient is the same as the Wald statistics. We notice significant evidence of convergence only within the EAMZ in banking system efficiency. The speed of this AC is 28.90% per annum (p.a) and the time required to achieve full (100%) convergence is 6.92 years (yrs).

Table 2: Absolute convergence

| | Financial Depth | | Financial Efficiency | | | | Fiscal Policy Convergence | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | Money Supply | | Liquid Liabilities | | Banking System Efficiency | | Financial System Efficiency | | External Debt | | Budget Deficit/Surplus | |
| | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ |
| Initial | 0.021 (0.975) | 0.204 (0.718) | -0.729 (0.417) | 0.147 (0.812) | -0.997 (0.333) | 0.578*** (0.000) | -0.288 (0.772) | -0.022 (0.932) | 0.696 (0.451) | 0.131 (0.854) | 0.077 (0.828) | -0.299 (0.752) |
| AR(2) | -0.371 (0.710) | 0.271 (0.786) | 0.489 (0.624) | 0.820 (0.412) | -0.455 (0.648) | 0.853 (0.393) | -0.724 (0.468) | -0.051 (0.959) | 0.868 (0.385) | -1.200 (0.230) | -0.966 (0.333) | -0.088 (0.929) |
| OIR | 1.934 (1.000) | 1.670 (1.000) | 0.943 (1.000) | 1.886 (1.000) | 0.765 (1.000) | 3.509 (1.000) | 2.879 (1.000) | 4.048 (1.000) | 3.976 (1.000) | 3.413 (1.000) | 1.210 (0.943) | 2.397 (0.966) |
| Wald | 0.000 (0.975) | 0.130 (0.718) | 0.657 (0.417) | 0.056 (0.812) | 0.933 (0.333) | 41.52*** (0.000) | 0.083 (0.772) | 0.007 (0.932) | 0.566 (0.451) | 0.033 (0.854) | 0.046 (0.828) | 0.099 (0.752) |
| Countries | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Obser | 50 | 56 | 50 | 56 | 51 | 63 | 50 | 56 | 52 | 61 | 6 | 10 |

| | Financial Activity | | Financial System Activity | | Financial Size | | Economic Performance | | | | Inflation(CPI) | |
|-----------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Banking System Activity | | Financial System Activity | | | | GDP Growth | | GDPpc Growth | | | |
| | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ |
| Initial | -1.059 (0.236) | 0.168 (0.653) | -1.095 (0.231) | 0.233 (0.506) | 0.117 (0.791) | 0.814 (0.241) | -0.628 (0.352) | -1.384 (0.107) | 0.484 (0.516) | 0.107 (0.924) | -1.670 (0.128) | 0.577 (0.343) |
| AR(2) | 0.340 (0.733) | 0.462 (0.644) | 0.531 (0.595) | 0.759 (0.447) | 0.734 (0.462) | 0.047 (0.962) | -1.059 (0.289) | -1.515 (0.129) | 1.003 (0.315) | 0.030 (0.975) | -0.968 (0.333) | 0.494 (0.621) |
| OIR | 0.270 (1.000) | 2.298 (1.000) | 0.203 (1.000) | 2.500 (1.000) | 1.528 (1.000) | 4.276 (1.000) | 2.225 (1.000) | 1.288 (1.000) | 3.791 (1.000) | 3.269 (0.999) | 0.220 (1.000) | 4.812 (1.000) |
| Wald | 1.399 (0.236) | 0.201 (0.653) | 1.429 (0.231) | 0.441 (0.506) | 0.069 (0.791) | 1.370 (0.241) | 0.865 (0.352) | 2.598 (0.107) | 0.421 (0.516) | 0.009 (0.924) | 2.315 (0.128) | 0.897 (0.343) |
| Countries | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Obser | 50 | 56 | 50 | 56 | 51 | 60 | 36 | 42 | 21 | 20 | 39 | 56 |

* ** ***: significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively . WAMZ: West African Monetary Zone. EAMZ: East African Monetary Zone. Syst: System. Initial: lagged autoregressive estimated coefficient. AR(2): Second Order Autocorrelation test. OIR: Overidentifying Restrictions Sargan Test. Wald: statistics for joint significance of estimates. Obser: Observations. CPI: Consumer Price Index. GDP: Gross Domestic Product. GDPpc : GDP per capita.

4.3 Results of conditional convergence

Table 3 below presents results of conditional convergence. We confirm the validity of the models: all estimated models are autocorrelation-free and have valid instruments, since the null hypotheses of the AR(2) and Sargan-OIR tests are not rejected. Unfortunately, we do not find any support for CC within any of the potential monetary zones for all policy measures under consideration. Owing to constraints in degrees of freedom required for the OIR test, we use the macroeconomic conditioning information set (public investment and trade) interchangeably and find the same results. We are also unable to condition budget deficit/surplus in the fiscal convergence analysis beyond a constant term because of limited degrees of freedom.

Table 3: Conditional convergence

| | Financial Depth | | Financial Efficiency | | | | Fiscal Policy Convergence | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | Money Supply | | Liquid Liabilities | | Banking System Efficiency | | Financial System Efficiency | | External Debt | | Budget Deficit/Surplus | |
| | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ |
| Initial | -0.871 (0.310) | -0.809 (0.417) | -0.577 (0.684) | -0.784 (0.401) | -1.248 (0.371) | -0.115 (0.812) | -1.655 (0.407) | -1.582* (0.083) | -0.882 (0.389) | -0.498 (0.574) | 0.205 (0.718) | -0.097 (0.933) |
| Intercept | -0.127 (0.207) | 0.132 (0.340) | -0.142 (0.682) | 0.177 (0.332) | -0.011 (0.748) | 0.132 (0.261) | -0.067 (0.316) | -0.022 (0.448) | -190.68* (0.068) | 44.58 (0.399) | -0.959 (0.487) | 2.141 (0.339) |
| Trade | -0.002 (0.106) | 0.008 (0.141) | -0.002 (0.538) | 0.009 (0.178) | 0.004 (0.526) | 0.0003 (0.921) | 0.003 (0.345) | 0.014 (0.114) | 3.008* (0.054) | 1.621 (0.475) | --- | --- |
| Pub. I | --- | -0.016 (0.337) | --- | -0.021 (0.290) | --- | -0.007 (0.762) | --- | 0.003 (0.801) | --- | 10.252 (0.742) | --- | --- |
| AR(2) | 1.126 (0.259) | 0.057 (0.953) | 0.915 (0.359) | 0.412 (0.680) | -0.618 (0.536) | 1.079 (0.280) | -0.669 (0.503) | -1.655 (0.097) | 1.289 (0.197) | 0.713 (0.475) | -0.719 (0.472) | n.a |
| OIR | 0.080 (1.000) | 0.152 (1.000) | 0.212 (1.000) | 0.017 (1.000) | 0.035 (1.000) | 0.979 (1.000) | 0.159 (1.000) | 0.010 (1.000) | 0.227 (1.000) | 0.261 (1.000) | 0.980 (0.912) | 0.609 (0.999) |
| Wald | 2.818 (0.244) | 4.323 (0.228) | 0.480 (0.786) | 3.189 (0.363) | 0.799 (0.670) | 0.564 (0.904) | 0.953 (0.620) | 3.065 (0.381) | 5.161* (0.075) | 2.746 (0.432) | 0.129 (0.718) | 0.007 (0.933) |
| Countries | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Obser | 50 | 53 | 50 | 53 | 51 | 55 | 50 | 53 | 52 | 57 | 6 | 10 |

| | Financial Activity | | Financial System Activity | | Financial Size | | Economic Performance | | | | Inflation(CPI) | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Banking System Activity | | Financial System Activity | | | | GDP Growth | | GDPpc Growth | | | |
| | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ | WAMZ | EAMZ |
| Initial | -1.020 (0.337) | -0.716 (0.264) | -1.064 (0.324) | -0.583 (0.277) | -0.754 (0.443) | -0.707 (0.388) | -1.417* (0.080) | 1.325 (0.899) | 0.621 (0.212) | -1.490 (0.273) | 84.643 (0.626) | -0.535 (0.609) |
| Intercept | 0.067 (0.848) | 0.290 (0.145) | 0.059 (0.839) | 0.206 (0.212) | -0.022 (0.775) | 0.083** (0.034) | 4.288 (0.275) | -0.365 (0.892) | -5.988 (0.286) | 0.221 (0.725) | 115.96 (0.618) | 0.689 (0.737) |
| Trade | -0.002 (0.522) | 0.014 (0.174) | -0.001 (0.600) | 0.012 (0.215) | - 0.004*** (0.000) | -0.002** (0.014) | 0.013 (0.731) | 0.259 (0.801) | -0.088 (0.619) | 0.039 (0.750) | -1.124 (0.622) | 0.017 (0.679) |
| Pub. I | --- | -0.016 (0.496) | --- | -0.008 (0.708) | --- | -0.003 (0.579) | --- | --- | --- | 0.277 (0.262) | --- | 0.108** (0.035) |
| AR(2) | 0.528 (0.597) | 0.067 (0.946) | 0.678 (0.497) | -0.059 (0.952) | 0.226 (0.821) | 0.949 (0.342) | 0.879 (0.379) | -0.154 (0.877) | 0.847 (0.396) | -1.196 (0.231) | 0.427 (0.668) | 0.405 (0.685) |
| OIR | 0.007 (1.000) | 0.247 (1.000) | 0.001 (1.000) | 0.004 (1.000) | 0.309 (1.000) | 0.062 (1.000) | 0.544 (1.000) | 1.700 (1.000) | 0.908 (1.000) | 0.474 (1.000) | 0.000 (1.000) | 0.084 (1.000) |
| Wald | 1.546 (0.461) | 2.081 (0.555) | 1.572 (0.455) | 1.931 (0.586) | 16.52*** (0.000) | 14.02*** (0.002) | 4.253 (0.119) | 2.190 (0.334) | 1.559 (0.458) | 2.344 (0.504) | 0.929 (0.628) | 7.731* (0.051) |
| Countries | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Obser | 50 | 53 | 50 | 53 | 51 | 52 | 36 | 41 | 21 | 18 | 39 | 49 |

*, **, ***: significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. WAMZ: West African Monetary Zone. EAMZ: East African Monetary Zone. Syst: System. Initial: lagged autoregressive estimated coefficient. AR(2): Second Order Autocorrelation test. OIR: Overidentifying Restrictions Sargan Test. Wald: statistics for joint significance of estimates. Obser: Observations. CPI: Consumer Price Index. GDP: Gross Domestic Product. GDPpc : GDP per capita. Pub. I: Public Investment. n.a: not applicable owing to issues with degrees of freedom.

4.4 Robustness checks

In order to assess the consistency of the results, we perform three types of robustness tests. Firstly, for every regression (whether in AC or CC terms), we use the conditioning information set singly and in pairs. Secondly, we set τ to 3 and replicate the analysis in tables 2 and 3. Lastly, we set τ to 4 and still replicate the analysis. In so doing, we find results not significantly different from those in Tables 2-3.

4.4.1 Setting τ to 3

When τ is set to 3, we notice no significantly different results from those in tables 2 and 3. There is still evidence of AC in banking system efficiency within the EAMZ at a speed of 14.23% per annum and, time required to achieve a complete convergence of 21.08 years. No significant results are noticed for the WAMZ. We replicate the analysis with different pairs of the conditioning information set to further assess the robustness of the findings.

4.4.1 Setting τ to 4

With τ set to 4, the following new findings emerge. For the WAMZ, there is evidence of AC in real sector policies; with speeds (time) of (for full) convergence in GDP and GDP per capita growths respectively: 12.57% p.a (31.82yrs) and 11.82% p.a (33.84yrs). The analysis is replicated with different pairs of the conditioning information set.

With regard to the EAMZ, we find significant results only for financial depth. With respect to the money supply dimension, convergence is at 22.25% p.a with a full converge period of 17.97yrs. The liquid liability dimension of financial depth has a convergence rate (full convergence period) of 21.45% p.a (18.64 yrs). This EAMZ analysis is also replicated with different pairs of the conditioning information set.

Overall, even after using different combinations of τ (3 & 4) and alternating macro economic variables, results are not substantially different from those summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Tables 2-3. This implies, varying the manner in which short-term disturbances are mitigated in the GMM approach does not significantly change the results.

4.5 Discussion and policy implications

Before diving into the discussion of results, it is imperative at the outset to highlight the economic intuition and circumstances motivating absolute and conditional convergence in real, monetary and fiscal policies within the WAMZ and EAMZ. The EMU crisis has sent a strong

signal to other common currency regions on the imperatives of real, monetary and fiscal policy convergence. An important lesson of the EMU crisis is that, serious disequilibria result from regional monetary arrangements not designed to be robust to a variety of shocks (Willet, 2010; Willett & Srisorn, 2011). In fashioning the euro zone, institutions' almost exclusive concern was placed on limiting crises caused by financial sectors. The official position of the German government today appears to maintain that, failure of these safeguards is the principal cause of the crisis.

Member countries of the potential WAMZ have twice postponed the take-off for the single currency. Central bank experts of the up-coming EAMZ fear that plans for a common currency in 2012 maybe too ambitious as central banks in the five countries are given little time to prepare for the monetary union. In a recent meeting among EAMZ central bank officials, experts have lamented the lack of knowledge in modeling and forecasting monetary policies, as well as the implementation of reforms needed for a single currency union. In another recent meeting among elements of the WAMZ's Convergence Council, questions have been raised over the achievement of monetary policy convergence. Three issues have resulted from these stylized facts: (1) the need for monetary policy convergence; (2) the imperative of bankers to understand modeling and forecasting methods in monetary policy transmission and; (3) the requirement of common structural and institutional characteristics among candidate countries.

In order to address the issues, the present analysis has been based on three foundations. (1) Real sector policies are designed to achieve macroeconomic performance through growth in GDP and GDP per capita at macro and micro levels respectively. (2) Monetary policies are designed to keep inflation in check and improve financial intermediary dynamics of depth (money supply and liquid liabilities), efficiency (at banking and financial system levels), activity (from banking and financial system perspectives) and size. (3) Fiscal policy convergence is

designed to keep debt-to-GDP and deficit-to-GDP ratios in check. We have also calculated the speeds of convergence and period required to achieve full (100%) convergence.

4.5.1 Absolute convergence

Absolute convergence is the result from factors such as monetary zones and the adoption of a single currency, among others (Nayaran et al., 2011). Absolute convergence in real, monetary and fiscal policy implies, countries share the same fundamental characteristics with regard to the financial intermediary market such that the only difference across countries is in initial levels of financial intermediary market development.

Since the mid 1980s, countries of the embryonic WAM and EAM zones have undertaken structural reform programs engineered by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) which have included financial liberalization for the most part. The vested agenda has been to reduce barriers to trade and increase foreign investment. Unlike other African countries without prospects for a single currency, the WAM and EAM zones member states are expected to benefit more in the reforms by virtue of reduced risks and low cross-border currency conversion costs in the flows of trade and investments among member countries. Owing to this financial liberalization, capital and exchange rate transaction controls have been substantially eased in the WAM and EAM zones. Also, advances in ICTs have rendered the banking industry increasingly synchronized. This synchronization has also increased the speed of shock adjustment; implying the rate at which one bank in the potential monetary zones adjusts when there is a shock in the other has increased. All these factors should naturally result in absolute convergence. However, our findings do not reveal support for absolute convergence. It follows that, despite dissimilar initial levels in financial development, member states of the embryonic WAM and EAM zones do not share the same fundamental characteristics. In other words, structural reform programs from the IMF and World Bank have not been evenly implemented by candidate countries.

4.5.2 Conditional convergence

According to the economic growth literature (Barro, 1991), conditional convergence depicts convergence whereby, one's own long-run stable state (equilibrium) is contingent on the different structural characteristics or fundamentals of each economy or market (Nayaran et al., 2011). Still consistent with Narayan et al. (2011), when financial intermediary markets across countries differ in terms of factors relative to the performance of their markets, there could be conditional convergence. The convergence in dynamics of the banking sector is contingent on proxies which we observe and empirically model. Our results are thus conditional on the macroeconomic measures we have used. Note should be taken of the fact that, owing to constraints in data availability and degrees of freedom required for the OIR-Sargan test, we conditioned our analysis on two macroeconomic variables: consistent with the convergence literature (Prichett, 1997; Bruno et al., 2011; Asongu, 2012). As we have found no evidence for this form of convergence, it follows that structural characteristics like macroeconomic policies are different across countries. Also, based on stylized facts presented in the motivation of the paper, it could be established that, this insignificance is also the result of cross-country differences in institutional characteristics like government quality (democracy, control of corruption, voice and accountability, rule of law, regulation quality, political stability...etc).

On a final note, the findings of this paper are broadly consistent with the convergence literature on the EAMZ (Mkenda, 2001; Buigut & Valev, 2005) and, the WAMZ (Celasun & Justiniano, 2005; Debrun et al., 2005; Tsangarides & Qureshi, 2008; Alagidede et al., 2011) outlined in the literature section.

4.5.3 Policy implications

In spite of common fundamental characteristics for candidate countries of the potential WAM and EAM zones (as they are implementing common policies in the run-up to the new monetary zones), we have found substantial insignificance in both types of convergences. These

findings have seven broad implications: (1) initial conditions for financial development are different across countries; (2) fundamental characteristics as common monetary policy initiatives and IMF backed financial reform programs are implemented differently across countries; (3) there is remarkable evidence of cross-country variations in structural characteristics of macroeconomic performance; (4) institutional cross-country differences could also be responsible for the deficiency in convergence within the potential monetary zones; (5) absence of fiscal policy convergence and no potential for eliminating idiosyncratic fiscal shocks due to business cycle incoherence; (6) the findings are highly relevant for policy makers in terms of *regional integration* as they question whether the policies implemented by candidate countries to promote financial integration have had any noticeable effects on the observed convergence patterns and; (7) the absence of strong links among banking sectors present some opportunity for *portfolio diversification*. This absence of convergence further implies that, risk-adjusted returns denominated in a common currency will be unequal throughout the candidate countries. In other words, there will be no possibility for similar yields for financial assets of similar risk and liquidity, regardless of nationality and locality. Hence, candidate states should work towards harmonizing cross-country differences in structural and institutional characteristics that hamper the effectiveness of convergence in real, monetary and fiscal policies. This could be done by stringently monitoring the implementation of existing common initiatives and/or the adoption of new reforms programs.

Further research could focus on specific-policies that could improve the convergence process. The fact that candidate states have different real, monetary and fiscal positions certainly creates implementation problems. Another interesting future research direction could be the flexibility needed by members to adjusted their existing structural and institutional characteristics to meet targeted common standards.

5. Conclusion

A spectre is hunting embryonic African monetary zones: the EMU crisis. The introduction of common currencies in West and East Africa is facing stiff challenges in the timing of monetary convergence, the imperative of policy makers to apply common modeling and forecasting methods of monetary policy transmission, as well as the requirements of common structural and institutional characteristics among candidate states. Inspired by the premise of the EMU crisis, this paper has assessed real, monetary and fiscal policy convergence within the potential WAM and EAM zones. In the analysis, monetary policy has targeted inflation and financial dynamics of depth, efficiency, activity and size; real sector policy has targeted economic performance in terms of GDP growth at macro and micro levels; while fiscal policy has targeted debt-to-GDP and deficit-to-GDP ratios. Findings suggest overwhelming lack of convergence; an indication that candidate countries still have to work towards harmonizing cross-country differences in fundamental, structural and institutional characteristics that hamper the convergence process.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Summary statistics (Combined data for WAMZ and EAMZ)

| | | Mean | S.D | Minimum | Maximum | Observations |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Financial Depth | Money Supply | 0.223 | 0.114 | 0.054 | 0.747 | 125 |
| | Liquid Liabilities | 0.161 | 0.102 | 0.028 | 0.553 | 125 |
| Financial Efficiency | Banking Efficiency | 0.651 | 0.315 | 0.070 | 1.844 | 132 |
| | Financial Efficiency | 0.730 | 0.362 | 0.139 | 1.968 | 125 |
| Financial Activity | Banking Activity | 0.103 | 0.069 | 0.013 | 0.255 | 125 |
| | Financial Activity | 0.118 | 0.086 | 0.013 | 0.349 | 125 |
| Financial Size | Financial Size | 0.563 | 0.242 | 0.054 | 1.155 | 130 |
| | Openness (Trade) | 54.581 | 26.818 | 6.320 | 138.76 | 129 |
| | Inflation | 17.434 | 27.228 | -100.00 | 196.12 | 120 |
| Other Variables | Public Investment | 7.180 | 3.719 | 0.000 | 15.734 | 106 |
| | GDP growth | 3.584 | 6.759 | -50.248 | 27.462 | 128 |
| | GDP per capita growth | 0.752 | 6.200 | -46.892 | 22.618 | 128 |
| | External Debt | 80.724 | 49.561 | 5.197 | 209.24 | 131 |
| | Budget Deficit/Surplus | -2.982 | 2.975 | -9.325 | 2.095 | 32 |

S.D: Standard Deviation. GDP: Gross Domestic Product

Appendix 2: Correlation analysis (Combined data for WAMZ and EAMZ)

| Fin. Depth | | Fin. Efficiency | | Fin. Activity | | F. Size | Other Variables | | | | | | |
|------------|------|-----------------|------|---------------|--------|---------|-----------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| M2 | Fd | BcBd | FcFd | Pcrb | Pcrbof | Dbacba | Trade | Infl. | Pub. I | GDP | GDPpc | Debt | BD/S |
| 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.53 | 0.46 | -0.21 | -0.15 | -0.01 | -0.04 | 0.14 | 0.34 |
| | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.56 | 0.40 | -0.20 | -0.23 | 0.02 | -0.01 | 0.07 | 0.40 |
| | | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 0.16 | -0.14 | 0.31 | -0.06 | -0.07 | -0.03 | 0.19 |
| | | | 1.00 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.36 | 0.03 | -0.18 | 0.43 | -0.16 | -0.16 | -0.03 | -0.02 |
| | | | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.68 | 0.42 | -0.21 | -0.07 | -0.04 | -0.08 | 0.07 | 0.43 |
| | | | | | 1.00 | 0.64 | 0.30 | -0.20 | -0.10 | -0.07 | -0.10 | 0.04 | 0.33 |
| | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.29 | -0.25 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.05 | -0.24 | 0.50 |
| | | | | | | | 1.00 | -0.12 | 0.13 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.28 | 0.38 |
| | | | | | | | | 1.00 | -0.03 | 0.29 | 0.26 | -0.05 | -0.24 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | -0.08 | -0.35 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.97 | -0.18 | -0.009 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | -0.16 | -0.11 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | -0.40 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 |

M2 :Money Supply. Fd: Liquid liabilities. BcBd : Bank credit on Bank deposit. FcFd: Financial credit on Financial deposit. Pcrb:Private domestic credit by deposit banks. Pcrbof: Private domestic credit by deposit banks and other financial institutions. Dbacba: Deposit bank assets on deposit bank assets plus central bank assets. Pub.I: Public Investment. GDP: GDP growth. GDPpc: GDP per capita growth. Popg: Population growth. Debt: External Debt. BD/S: Budget Deficit/ Surplus. Fin (F): Financial.

Appendix 3: Variable definitions

| Variables | Signs | Variable definitions | Sources |
|--|----------|---|-------------------|
| Inflation | Infl. | Consumer Price (Annual %) | World Bank (WDI) |
| Openness | Trade | Imports(of goods and services) plus Exports(of goods and services) on GDP | World Bank (WDI) |
| Public Investment | PubI | Gross Public Investment (% of GDP) | World Bank (WDI) |
| GDP Growth | GDPg | Average annual GDP growth rate | World Bank (WDI) |
| GDP per capita Growth | GDPpcg | Average annual GDP per capita growth rate | World Bank (WDI) |
| Population Growth | Popg | Annual population growth rate | World Bank (WDI) |
| Economic financial depth(Money Supply) | M2 | Monetary Base plus demand, saving and time deposits (% of GDP) | World Bank (FDSD) |
| Financial system depth(Liquid liabilities) | Fdgdg | Financial system deposits (% of GDP) | World Bank (FDSD) |
| Banking system allocation efficiency | BcBd | Bank credit on Bank deposits | World Bank (FDSD) |
| Financial system allocation efficiency | FcFd | Financial system credit on Financial system deposits | World Bank (FDSD) |
| Banking system activity | Pcrb | Private credit by deposit banks (% of GDP) | World Bank (FDSD) |
| Financial system activity | Pcrbof | Private credit by deposit banks and other financial institutions (% of GDP) | World Bank (FDSD) |
| Financial size | Dbacba | Deposit bank assets on Central banks assets plus deposit bank assets | World Bank (FDSD) |
| External Debt | Ext.Debt | Debt Outstanding (% of GDP) | World Bank (WDI) |
| Budget Deficit | Deficit | Cash Surplus/ Deficit (% of GDP) | World Bank (WDI) |

M2: Money Supply. Fdgdg: Liquid liabilities. BcBd: Bank credit on Bank deposits. FcFd: Financial system credit on Financial system deposits. Pcrb: Private domestic credit by deposit banks. Pcrbof: Private domestic credit by deposit banks and other financial institutions. Dbacba: Deposit bank assets on Central bank assets plus deposit bank assets. WDI: World Development Indicators. FDSD: Financial Development and Structure Database.

Appendix 4: Presentation of countries

| Zones | Definitions | Countries | Number |
|-------|----------------------------|---|--------|
| WAMZ | West African Monetary Zone | The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea*, Nigeria, Sierra Leone | 4 |
| EAMZ | East African Monetary Zone | Tanzania, Rwanda, Burundi, Kenya, Uganda. | 5 |

*Guinea is not included in the WAMZ Analysis owing to constraints in data availability.

References

- Afonso, A., & Rault, C., (2007). “What do we really know about fiscal sustainability in the EU? A Panel Data Diagnostic” William Davidson Institute. *Working Paper* No. 893.
- African Manager (2012, January). “ECOWAS single currency for launch 2020”, http://www.africanmanager.com/site_eng/detail_article.php?art_id=13398 (accessed on the 15th of January 2012).
- African Online News (2012, January). “East Africa Monetary Union Challenging”, AON <http://www.afrol.com/articles/35643> (accessed on the 15th January 2012).
- Alagidede, P., (2008). “African Stock Market Integration: Implications for Portfolio Diversification and International Risk Sharing”, *Proceedings of the African Economic Conferences* 2008.
- Alagidede, P., Coleman, S., & Cuestas, J. C., (2011). “ Inflationary shocks and common economic trends: Implications for West African Monetary Union membership”, *Journal of Policy Modeling*, Forthcoming.
- Allen, F., & Gale, D.,(2000). *Comparing Financial Systems*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Angeloni, I., & Dedola, L.,(1999). “From the ERM to the Euro: New evidence on economic and policy among EU countries”, *European Central Bank Working Paper*, No. 4.
- Arellano, M., & Bond, S., (1991). “Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations”, *The Review of Economic Studies*, 58, pp. 277-297.
- Asongu, S. A., (2011a). “Law, finance, economic growth and welfare: why does legal origin matter?”, *MPRA* No. 33868.
- Asongu, S. A., (2011b). “Law and finance in Africa”, *MPRA* No. 34080.
- Asongu, S. A., (2011c). “Finance and democracy in Africa”, *MPRA* No. 35500.

Asongu, S. A., (2011d). “Why do French civil-law countries have higher levels of financial efficiency”, *MPRA* No. 33950.

Asongu, S. A., (2011e). “New financial intermediary development indicators for developing countries”, *MPRA* No. 30921.

Asongu, S. A., (2012). “African stock market performance dynamics: a multidimensional convergence assessment”, *Journal of African Business*: Forthcoming.

Barro, R. J., (1991). “Economic growth in a cross section of countries”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, pp. 407-443.

Beine, M., Cosma, A., & Vermeulen, R., (2010). “The dark side of global integration: increasing tail dependence”, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34, pp. 184-192.

Bessler, D. A., & Yang, J., (2003). “The structure of interdependence in international stock markets”, *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 22, pp. 261-287.

Bondt, G., (2000). “Financial Structure and Monetary Transmission in Europe” . Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Brada, J. C., Kutan, A. M., & Zhou, S., (2005). “Real and monetary convergence between the European Union’s core and recent member countries: A rolling cointegration approach”, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 29, pp. 249-270.

Bruno, G., De Bonis, R., & Silvestrini, A., (2011). “Do financial systems converge? New evidence from financial assets in OECD countries”, *Journal of Comparative Economics*; Forthcoming.

Buigut, S.K., & Valev, N.T.,(2005). “Is the Proposed East African Monetary Union an Optimal Currency Area? A Structural Vector Autoregression Analysis”, *World Development*, 33(12), pp. 260-267.

Casu, B., & Girardone, C., (2010). "Integration and efficiency convergence in the EU banking", *Omega*, 38, pp. 260-267.

Celasun, O., & Justiniano, A., (2005). "Synchronization of output fluctuations in West Africa: Implications for monetary unification", *IMF Working Paper*.

Chen, G-M., Firth, M., & Rui, O. M., (2002). "Stock market linkages: evidence from Latin America", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 26, pp. 1113-1141.

Darvas, Z., Rose, A. K., & Szapary, G., (2005). "Fiscal divergence and business cycles synchronization: Irresponsibility is idiosyncratic", *NBER Working Paper* No. 11580

Debrun, X., Masson, P., & Pattillo, C., (2005). "Monetary union in West Africa: Who might gain, who might lose and why?", *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 38(2), pp. 454-481.

Faini, R., (2006). "Fiscal policy and interest rates in Europe", *Economic Policy*, 21(47), pp. 443–489.

Fung, M.K., (2009). "Financial development and economic growth: convergence or divergence?", *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 28, pp. 56-67.

Grubel, H., (1968). "Internationally diversified portfolio: welfare gains in capital flows", *American Economic Review*, 58, pp. 89-94.

Islam, N.,(1995). "Growth Empirics: A Panel Data Approach", *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110, (4), pp. 1127-1170.

Kim, S. J., Moshirian, F., & Wu, E., (2005). "Evolution of international stock and bond market integration: influence of the European Monetary Union", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 30, pp. 1507-1534.

Kocenda, E., Kutan, A. M., & Yigit, T. M., (2008). "Fiscal convergence in the European Union", *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 19, pp. 319-330.

Levine, R., (2004). "Finance and Growth: Theory and Evidence". *NBER Working Paper* 10766.

Levy, H., & Sarnat, M., (1970). "International diversification of investment portfolios", *American Economic Review*, 60, pp. 668-675.

Mkenda, B. K., (2001). "Is EA an optimum currency area?", *Working Papers in Economics*, No. 41. School of Economics and Commercial Law, Goteborg University.

Narayan, P. K., Mishra, S., & Narayan, S., (2011). "Do market capitalization and stocks traded converge? New global evidence", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 35, pp. 2771-2781.

Onorante, L. (2004). "Fiscal convergence before entering EMU". In Liebscher, Liebscher, et al. (Eds.), *The economic potential of a larger Europe* (pp. 245–259). Cheltenham, UK /Northampton, MA: Elgar.

Prichett, L., (1997). "Divergence, big time", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 11, pp. 3-17.

Scholtens, B., & Naaborg, I.,(2005). "Convergence of Financial Intermediary Activities within Europe: A comparison of banks' balance sheets in the EU and the New E.U Member States", Department of Finance, University of Groningen.

Tsangarides, C.G., & Qureshi, M.S.,(2008). "Monetary Union Membership in West Africa: A Cluster Analysis", *World Development*, 36(7), pp. 1261-1279.

Umutlu, M., Akdeniz, L., & Altag-Salih, A., (2010). "The degree of financial liberalisation and aggregated stock-return volatility in emerging markets", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34(3), pp. 509-521.

Von Furstenberg, G. M., & Jeon, B. N., (1989). "International stock price movements: links and messages", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1, pp. 125-179.

Weill, L.,(2009). "Convergence in banking efficiency across European countries", *International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 19, pp. 818-833.

Willett, T. D., (2011). "Some Lessons for Economists from the Financial Crisis". In *Indian Growth and Development Review*, 3(2), pp. 186-208.

Willett, T. D., & Srisorn, N., (2011, June). "Some Lessons for Asia from the Euro Crisis", The Claremont Colleges, Workshop on Global Growth and Economic Governance Implications for Asia: George Mason University.

Yu, I-W., Fung, K-P., & Tam, C-S., (2010). "Assessing the financial market integration in Asia-equity markets", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34, pp. 2874-2885.